

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/803,450	OAKLEY, RAYMOND	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John R. Cottingham	2116	

All Participants:

Status of Application: amended

(1) John R. Cottingham.

(3) _____.

(2) Kenneth L. Green.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 March 2005

Time: 2:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

1-20

Claims discussed:

1-20

Prior art documents discussed:

Keen 4,086,946

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed upon to amend claim 20, as shown in the examiner's amendment, to put the application in condition for allowance. The examiner was convinced by applicant's arguments that the tapered bore and tapered screw were not shown in the prior art, and there was no reason to modify the prior art..